



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/081,535 Confirmation No. 4269

Applicant : Y. TAKEDA et al

Filed : February 25, 2002

Title : ADDRESS TRANSLATOR, MESSAGE PROCESSING  
METHOD AND EQUIPMENT

TC/AU : 2144

Examiner : T.T. Nguyen

Docket No. : 500.41227X00

Customer No.: 24956

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT**

Sir:

This is in response to the communication dated November 10, 2008. The Examiner has requested that Applicants point out specific paragraphs in the specification for the claimed amendment filed on August 7, 2008. It is pointed out that an Amendment was filed on August 6, 2008 and then a Supplemental Amendment was filed on August 7, 2008 to cure some minor informalities in the Amendment of August 6, 2008.

The following explains some examples of support in the present specification. These examples merely relate to an embodiment of the present invention and should not be construed to unduly limit the scope of the claims. The Examiner's attention is

directed to the claims originally filed with the application and the SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION portion of the application as examples of support.

Additionally, in independent claim 1, the address translating unit can be said to be further supported by paragraphs [0135], [0136] and [0142] of the corresponding U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0110292 ("the '292 publication"). The detecting unit can be said to be further supported by paragraphs [0135], [0136], and [0139]-[0141] of the '292 publication. The creating unit can be said to be further supported by paragraphs [0135], [0145]-[0147] and [0178].

Independent claim 4 is directed to a corresponding method claim. Independent claim 25 also refers to the address translating unit, the detecting unit, and the creating unit. Therefore, the examples of support mentioned above also apply to claims 4 and 25. The dependent claims have only minor changes and support for the new claims added by amendment on August 6, 2008 can easily be determined from the application as filed.

The portions of support mentioned above are merely examples and other portions of the application provide further support. In this regard, the Examiner is hereby requested to contact the undersigned by telephone in order to arrange an appropriate date and time for an interview in which the presently claimed invention can be further explained.

Appl. No. 10/081,535

500.41227X00

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated February 10, 2009

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated November 10, 2008

A Petition for a two-month Extension of Time and appropriate fee also accompany this response.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees deemed necessary or any overpayments to Deposit Account 50-1417.

Respectfully submitted,

MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.

By 

Shrinath Malur

Reg. No. 34,663

(703) 684-1120